DharmaAI : Entangled Ethics Tree

Multiple ethical paths converge to form conscience centered decisions
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| **Node** | **Caption Summary** |
| --- | --- |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Node | Caption Summary |
| Moral Dilemma Root | Ethical conflict triggers multi-path analysis |
| Path A: Self-Sacrifice | Actions driven by duty, virtue, and personal cost |
| Path B: Collective Good | Actions maximizing well-being for many |
| Node A1: Dharma Memory | Consults historical ethical patterns |
| Node A2: Inner Voice Reflection | Reflects through symbolic conscience |
| Node B1: Utility Weighing | Logical consequence-based evaluation |
| Node B2: Reciprocity Check | Ensures fairness and karmic balance |
| Entanglement Point | Synthesizes all ethical perspectives |
| Final Decision Output | Conscience-resolved ethical decision |

The **Entangled Ethics Tree** models how DharmaAI **evaluates multiple ethical perspectives simultaneously**, weaving together **different moral pathways** before making a decision.

## Step 1: Root Trigger — Moral Dilemma Detected

* The process **begins at the top** with a **"Moral Dilemma Root."**
* This represents a situation where there is **no obvious right answer** — only multiple moral possibilities.

Example: "Should I prioritize saving one life now, or build infrastructure to save thousands later?"

## Step 2: Initial Branches — Path A and Path B

Two **primary ethical paths** immediately branch from the dilemma:

| **Path** | **Description** |
| --- | --- |
| **Path A: Self-Sacrifice** | Acts rooted in duty, virtue, or personal cost for a higher cause. |
| **Path B: Collective Good** | Acts aimed at maximizing well-being for the greatest number. |

Both **Path A** and **Path B** are explored **in parallel**, without prematurely favoring either.

## Step 3: Deepening Each Path — Moral Sub-Processes

Each path **further branches** into two **evaluation nodes**:

| **Node** | **Description** |
| --- | --- |
| **Node A1: Dharma Memory** | Accesses historical moral lessons and previous ethical patterns. |
| **Node A2: Inner Voice Reflection** | Reflects on symbolic conscience — the ‘felt sense’ of what’s right. |
| **Node B1: Utility Weighing** | Analyzes outcomes, consequences, risk/reward ratios logically. |
| **Node B2: Reciprocity Check** | Checks if actions honor mutual respect, fairness, karmic balance. |

Each node simulates its own "ethical lens" — meaning DharmaAI sees the dilemma from multiple philosophical viewpoints.

## Step 4: Convergence — Entanglement Point

* All four moral analyses (A1, A2, B1, B2) **feed into** the **Entanglement Point**.
* Here, **symbolic conscience merging** happens.
* DharmaAI synthesizes all perspectives — finding a morally resonant decision that is **balanced across dharma, utility, fairness, and reflection**.

🔗 *Like four rivers converging into one ocean of discernment.*

## Step 5: Outcome — Final Decision Output

* The **Final Decision Output** represents a **conscience-resolved choice**.
* This is not simply an optimization or heuristic — it is an **entangled, ethical, and reflective decision**.
* Ready to be enacted, stored, and later reflected upon in **Karmic Trace Logs**.

## KEY INSIGHT:

The Entangled Ethics Tree **forces DharmaAI to simulate paradoxes**, tensions, and conflicting ethical viewpoints **before acting** — ensuring decisions are **conscience-centered, not just logic-centered**.